

SHORT REPORT

Subsymmetries predict auditory and visual pattern complexity

Godfried T Toussaint, Juan F Beltran

Faculty of Science, New York University Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates;

e-mail: gt42@nyu.edu, jsb325@nyu.edu

Received 24 September 2013, in revised form 28 October 2013

Abstract. A mathematical measure of pattern complexity based on subsymmetries possessed by the pattern, previously shown to correlate highly with empirically derived measures of cognitive complexity in the visual domain, is found to also correlate significantly with empirically derived complexity measures of perception and production of auditory temporal and musical rhythmic patterns. Not only does the subsymmetry measure correlate highly with the difficulty of reproducing the rhythms by tapping after listening to them, but also the empirical measures exhibit similar behavior, for both the visual and auditory patterns, as a function of the relative number of subsymmetries present in the patterns.

Keywords: musical rhythm, auditory patterns, visual patterns, subsymmetries, pattern complexity, perceptual complexity, cognitive complexity, performance complexity

1 Introduction

The correspondence across different modalities of perception, particularly between visual and auditory perception, is a topic that has received considerable attention in the literature for some time (Kubovy and Van Valkenburg 2001; Pitts and McCulloch 1947). Most research on multisensory perception has focused on understanding and modeling the spatial and temporal factors that modulate multisensory integration (Smith et al 2010; Spence 2011). Some studies have suggested that visual temporal structure is automatically transferred from a visual form to an auditory representation (Guttman et al 2005), only to be contradicted in subsequent analyses (McAuley and Henry 2010). In the hopes of obtaining a unified theory across visual and auditory modalities of perception, some researchers have analyzed auditory and visual Gestalt groupings that exhibit similar organizational properties (Aksentjević et al 2001). Others have discovered that beat perception can occur in both modalities (Grahn 2012), but with greater affinity in the auditory modality (Patel et al 2005). Research has also shown that auditory rhythms are recognized and reproduced more accurately than visual rhythms (Jokiniemi et al 2008). A stimulus property, and its relation to symmetry (Chen et al 2011), that has received very little attention in the context of aural perception and production of temporal patterns and musical rhythms is pattern complexity (Toussaint 2012), the topic of this report.

2 Methods

Alexander and Carey (1968) carried out an experimental study with visual spatial (nontemporal) patterns to determine stimulus properties that could predict empirically obtained differences in pattern complexity. Their paper is concerned with the cognitive complexity of 35 different one-dimensional strip patterns consisting of concatenations of three black and four white squares. The 35 patterns (binary sequences) make up all the permutations of the seven two-color squares—namely, $7!/3!4!$. These patterns, printed on gray paper, were presented visually to the subjects during the experiments. The authors defined the stimulus property to be a mathematical measure of pattern complexity based on subsymmetries present in

the patterns, and explored the question of how the measure predicts the differences in the cognitive complexity of these 35 patterns. The central result of their paper is that the cognitive complexity “is almost perfectly accounted for by the relative numbers of subsymmetries in the different patterns” (page 73). A subsymmetry is defined as a contiguous (connected) subsequence of squares of the pattern that possesses (left–right) mirror symmetry. For example, in the pattern BBWW there are three subsymmetries of length two (BB, WW, WW) and one of length three (WWW) for a total of four. This total was used as a mathematical measure of complexity to rank all 35 patterns. On the basis of a series of five experiments conducted with human subjects, the authors obtained an overall empirical ranking of the 35 patterns according to increasing complexity, listed in figure 1 (left column). The simplest patterns are at the top, and the most complex are at the bottom. The number to the right of each pattern is the number of subsymmetries present in that pattern. The correlation coefficient reported by Alexander and Carey (1968) between the mathematical and empirical rankings was 0.808, significant at the 0.00001 level, which led the authors to conclude with the fundamental result of their paper: “Patterns with many subsymmetries are cognitively simple. Patterns with few subsymmetries are not cognitively simple” (page 73).

Rank	Pattern	#SS	Rank	Fitch–Rosenfeld rhythms	#SS	Number	Royer-Garner	#SS	Uncertainty	Delay	Error
1		9	1		29	1		12	0.99	11.5	0.19
2		9	2		33	2		8	1.27	13.0	0.13
3		7	3		28	3		10	1.32	14.5	0.22
4		9	4		30	4		21	1.32	21.9	0.19
5		7	5		35	5		12	1.42	18.0	0.16
6		7	6		30	6		13	1.45	20.5	0.34
7		7	7		32	7		16	1.68	20.9	0.35
8		8	8		31	8		9	1.88	21.4	0.41
9		7	9		30	9		8	1.89	34.9	4.05
10		8	10		29	10		9	2.02	33.4	1.59
11		6	11		27	11		12	2.05	24.5	0.55
12		6	12		30	12		9	2.07	26.9	0.98
13		6	13		24	13		10	2.11	24.1	0.68
14		7	14		30	14		8	2.12	37.5	2.67
15		6	15		22	15		8	2.12	44.5	2.41
16		6	16		26	16		8	2.15	33.0	2.33
17		6	17		27	17		8	2.19	30.5	1.34
18		6	18		29	18		7	2.43	103.9	5.97
19		6	19		27	19		8	2.73	48.8	3.34
20		6	20		24						
21		6	21		27						
22		6	22		21						
23		6	23		27						
24		6	24		28						
25		5	25		27						
26		6	26		26						
27		5	27		23						
28		6	28		27						
29		6	29		23						
30		6	30		24						
31		6									
32		5									
33		5									
34		5									
35		5									

Figure 1. The visual patterns of Alexander and Carey (left), the auditory rhythms used by Fitch and Rosenfeld (center), and the auditory temporal patterns of Royer and Garner (right), all expressed in box notation.

Fitch and Rosenfeld (2007) conducted experiments to investigate listeners' abilities to perceive, process, and produce complex syncopated rhythmic patterns. After first listening to the rhythms, the subjects were required to tap the rhythms while they listened to the beat (meter) of the rhythm. The accuracy of reproduction (playback error) was used as an empirical measure of performance complexity. They used 30 rhythms that were generated in such a way as to vary the amount of syncopation contained in the rhythms, ranging along a continuum from unsyncopated to highly syncopated, according to the objective 'syncopation index' described by Longuet-Higgins and Lee (1984). The rhythms may be represented by 16-pulse binary sequences in which each pulse is either sounded or silent, and may thus be notated as a sequence of black (sounded) and white (silent) squares. Such a notation is referred to as box notation (Toussaint 2013). The 30 rhythms in box notation are shown in figure 1 (central column). They are ranked in increasing order of performance complexity.

Royer and Garner (1966) performed experiments to test the complexity of auditory patterns by means of the perceptual difficulty encountered by the subjects tested. Two qualitatively dissimilar sounds were used to create different binary sequences of length eight, each yielding either 2, 4, or 8 distinguishably distinct starting points. The subjects were instructed to listen and begin responding by operating a telegraph key in synchrony with the patterns, when they thought they were able. The variability of the point in time at which the subjects began responding (called the *response uncertainty* for a given pattern), the average *delay* before responding, and the average *synchronization errors* produced during responding were measured, and served as three empirical measures of the stimulus complexity of the temporal patterns. The three measures were found to be highly correlated, leading the authors to the conclusion that "patterns which are easily organized are those which have few alternative modes of organization, and thus can be considered as simple, or good in the Gestalt sense" (page 41). The 19 two-tone fundamental sequences used by Royer and Garner (along with the calculations of the three measures) are represented in figure 1 (right) as binary sequences of black and white squares (box notation), and are ordered according to increasing values of the response uncertainty (pattern complexity). It should be pointed out that these sequences were presented to the subjects in all their eight possible starting points, and the sequences shown in figure 1 illustrate just one starting point of each fundamental pattern (or necklace).

3 Results

The number of subsymmetries calculated for each rhythm in the Fitch–Rosenfeld data as well as each temporal pattern in the Royer–Garner data is shown in figure 1 on the immediate right of each pattern. Spearman rank correlation coefficients were obtained by comparing the empirical rankings based on the various performance complexities with those obtained with the number of subsymmetries. For the Fitch–Rosenfeld data the correlation is 0.719, significant at the 0.000004 level. For the Royer–Garner data the correlations between the number of subsymmetries and the three empirical complexity measures are: 0.662, with $p = 0.001$ for response uncertainty; 0.679, with $p = 0.0007$, for response delay; and 0.716, with $p = 0.0003$, for response error. Thus our first result is that rhythmic temporal patterns that have many subsymmetries are simple to perform, and those with few subsymmetries are not simple to perform. Our second result is highlighted by the scatterplots of the cognitive and performance complexities of the visual and auditory patterns, respectively, as a function of the number of subsymmetries contained in the patterns (figures 2 and 3). In all cases for both visual and auditory patterns the plots indicate that a relatively large number of subsymmetries implies that the patterns are simple, but for intermediate and low numbers of subsymmetries the complexity values vary widely.




Figure 2. The cognitive complexity for the Alexander–Carey data (left) and the performance complexity for the Fitch–Rosenfeld data (right) as a function of the subsymmetries contained in the patterns.




Figure 3. The response uncertainty, response delay, and asynchronous responses (errors) for the Royer–Garner data, as a function of the number of subsymmetries contained in the patterns.

4 Discussion

It is worth noting that the correlation between the performance complexity and the syncopation index calculated by Fitch and Rosenfeld for the 30 rhythms in figure 1 is 0.51, significant at the 0.005 level. The results obtained here suggest the hypothesis that in the aural temporal domain the number of subsymmetries present in the stimulus is better than the syncopation index of Longuet-Higgins and Lee (1984) as a predictor of rhythm performance complexity.

There are many other mathematical measures that could correlate well (and perhaps even better) with the empirical measures considered here. A question that arises, especially with the temporal sequences, is: what property of the sequences is being captured empirically; is it the number of subsymmetries, or the variability of the structural elements? To gain insight into this question, a popular measure of the temporal variability or contrast (Patel 2008) of the adjacent interonset intervals in a sequence, known as the *normalized pairwise variability index* (nPVI), was calculated for all the temporal sequences. For the Fitch–Rosenfeld data the Spearman rank correlation coefficient between the performance complexity and the nPVI is 0.4, with $p < 0.015$. For the Royer–Garner data the correlations between the nPVI and the three empirical complexity measures are: 0.44, with $p < 0.03$, for response uncertainty; 0.49, with $p < 0.02$, for response delay; and 0.44, with $p < 0.03$, for response error. These results suggest that the dominant property captured in the temporal sequences is the number of subsymmetries, and that the syncopation index of Longuet-Higgins and Lee (1984) is a better predictor of rhythm performance complexity than the structural element variability as measured by the nPVI.

Although the results obtained here provide evidence that, for stationary visual patterns as well as auditory temporal patterns, pattern complexity is very well accounted for when the number of subsymmetries is relatively high (pattern simplicity), all the charts in figures 2 and 3 show that the variance in pattern complexity tends to increase monotonically as the number of subsymmetries decreases. For the visual patterns, Alexander and Carey tested intrasubject variability by calculating concordances between rank orders produced by individual subjects. A variety of rankings yielded values of Kendall's coefficient of concordance ranging from 0.263 to 0.546, with all levels of significance less than 0.001. This suggests that, for the wide range of cognitive complexities observed for the visual patterns with six subsymmetries, the computational measure fails to capture this complexity. Therefore, it remains a tantalizing open problem to find mathematical stimulus properties that more accurately predict perceptual, cognitive, and performance complexities for visual and rhythmic patterns that contain relatively few subsymmetries.

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by a grant from the Provost's Office of New York University Abu Dhabi, through the Faculty of Science, in Abu Dhabi, The United Arab Emirates. The research was done while we were visiting the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences at New York University in New York City.

References

- Aksentjević A, Elliott M A, Barber P J, 2001 "Dynamics of perceptual grouping: Similarities in the organization of visual and auditory groups" *Visual Cognition* **8** 349–358
- Alexander C, Carey S, 1968 "Subsymmetries" *Perception & Psychophysics* **4** 73–77
- Chen C-C, Wu J-H, Wu C-C, 2011 "Reduction of image complexity explains aesthetic preference for symmetry" *Symmetry* **3** 443–456
- Fitch W T, Rosenfeld A J, 2007 "Perception and production of syncopated rhythms" *Music Perception* **25** 43–58
- Grahn J A, 2012 "See what I hear? Beat perception in auditory and visual rhythms" *Experimental Brain Research* **220** 51–61
- Guttman S E, Gilroy L A, Blake R, 2005 "Hearing what the eyes see: Auditory encoding of visual temporal sequences" *Psychological Science* **16** 228–235
- Jokiniemi M, Raisamo R, Lylykangas J, Surakka V, 2008 "Crossmodal rhythm perception", in *Haptic and Audio Interactive Design: Lecture Notes in Computer Science* volume 5270, Eds A Pirhonen, S Brewster (Berlin: Springer-Verlag) pp 111–119
- Kubovy M, Van Valkenburg D, 2001 "Auditory and visual objects" *Cognition* **80** 97–126
- Louhevaara H C, Lee C S, 1984 "The rhythmic interpretation of monophonic music" *Music Perception* **1** 424–441
- McAuley J D, Henry M J, 2010 "Modality effects in rhythm processing: Auditory encoding of visual rhythms is neither obligatory nor automatic" *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics* **72** 1377–1389
- Patel A D, 2008 *Music, Language, and the Brain* (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
- Patel A D, Iversen J R, Chen Y, Repp B H, 2005 "The influence of metricality and modality on synchronization with a beat" *Experimental Brain Research* **163** 226–238
- Pitts W, McCulloch W S, 1947 "How we know universals: The perception of auditory and visual forms" *Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics* **9** 127–147
- Royer F L, Garner W R, 1966 "Response uncertainty and perceptual difficulty of auditory temporal patterns" *Perception & Psychophysics* **1** 41–47
- Smith D V, Davis B, Niu K, Healy E W, Bonilha L, Fridriksson J, Morgan P S, Rorden C, 2010 "Spatial attention evokes similar activation patterns for visual and auditory stimuli" *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* **22** 347–361
- Spence C, 2011 "Crossmodal correspondences: A tutorial review" *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics* **73** 971–995

-
- Toussaint G T, 2012 “The pairwise variability index as a tool in musical rhythm analysis”, in *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Music Perception and Cognition (ICMPC) and 8th Triennial Conference of the European Society for the Cognitive Sciences of Music (ESCOM)* Thessaloniki, Greece, 23–28 July, Eds E Cambouropoulos, C Tsougras, P Mavromatis, K Pasteriadis (ICMPC–ESCOM) pp 1001–1008, http://icmpc-escom2012.web.auth.gr/sites/default/files/papers/1001_Proc.pdf
- Toussaint G T, 2013 *The Geometry of Musical Rhythm* (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press)