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## 1 Introduction

An equitable $k$-coloring of a graph $G$ is a proper $k$-coloring, for which any two color classes differ in size by at most one. Equitable colorings naturally arise in some scheduling, partitioning, and load balancing problems [1, 15, 16]. Pemmaraju [13] and Janson and Ruciński [6] used equitable colorings to derive deviation bounds for sums of dependent random variables that exhibit limited dependence. In 1964 Erdős [3] conjectured that any graph with maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq r$ has an equitable $(r+1)$-coloring. This conjecture was proved in 1970 by Hajnal and Szemerédi [5] with a surprisingly long and complicated argument. Recently, Mydlarz and Szemerédi [11] found a polynomial time algorithm for such coloring.

In search of an easier proof, Seymour [14] strengthened Erdős' conjecture by asking whether every graph with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq \frac{k}{k+1}|G|$ contains the $k$-th power of a hamiltonian cycle. (If $|G|=(r+1)(s+1)$ and $\Delta(G) \leq r$ then $\delta(\bar{G}) \geq \frac{s}{s+1}|G|$; each $(s+1)$ interval of a $s$-th power of a hamiltonian cycle in $\bar{G}$ is an independent set in $G$.) The case $k=1$ is Dirac's Theorem and the case $k=2$ is Pósa's Conjecture. Fan and Kierstead [4] proved Pósa's Conjecture with cycle replaced by path. Komlós, Sarkozy and Szemerédi [7] proved Seymour's conjecture for graphs with sufficiently many (in terms of $k$ ) vertices. Neither of these partial results has a simple proof. In fact, [7] uses the Regularity Lemma, the Blow-up Lemma and the Hajnal-Szemerédi Theorem.

A different strengthening was suggested recently by Kostochka and Yu [9, 10]. In the spirit of Ore's theorem on hamiltonian cycles [12], they conjectured that every graph in which $d(x)+d(y) \leq 2 r$ for every edge $x y$ has an equitable $(r+1)$-coloring.

In this paper we present a short proof of the Hajnal-Szemerédi Theorem and present another polynomial time algorithm that constructs an equitable $(r+1)$-coloring of any

[^0]graph $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq r$. Our approach is similar to the original proof, but a discharging argument allows for a much simpler conclusion. Our techniques have paid further dividends. In another paper we will prove the above conjecture of Kostochka and $\mathrm{Yu}[9,10]$ in a stronger form: with $2 r+1$ in place of $2 r$. They also yield partial results towards the Chen-Lih-Wu Conjecture [2] about equitable $r$-colorings of $r$-regular graphs and towards a list analogue of Hajnal-Szemerédi Theorem (see [8] for definitions).

Most of our notation is standard; possible exceptions include the following. For a vertex $y$ and set of vertices $X, N_{X}(y):=N(y) \cap X$ and $d_{X}(y)=\left|N_{X}(y)\right|$. If $\mu$ is a function on edges then $\mu(A, B):=\sum_{x y \in E(A, B)} \mu(x, y)$, where $E(A, B)$ is the set of edges linking a vertex in $A$ to a vertex in $B$. For a function $f: V \rightarrow Z$, the restriction of $f$ to $W \subseteq V$ is denoted by $f \mid W$. Functions are viewed formally as sets of ordered pairs. So if $u \notin V$ then $g:=f \cup\{(u, \gamma)\}$ is the extension of $f$ to $V \cup\{u\}$ such that $g(u)=\gamma$.

## 2 Main proof

Let $G$ be a graph with $s(r+1)$ vertices. A nearly equitable $(r+1)$-coloring of $G$ is a proper coloring $f$, whose color classes all have size $s$ except for one small class $V^{-}=V^{-}(f)$ with size $s-1$ and one large class $V^{+}=V^{+}(f)$ with size $s+1$. Given such a coloring $f$, define the auxiliary digraph $H=H(G, f)$ as follows. The vertices of $H$ are the color classes of $f$. A directed edge $V W$ belongs to $E(H)$ iff some vertex $y \in V$ has no neighbors in $W$. In this case we say that $y$ is movable to $W$. Call $W \in V(H)$ accessible, if $V^{-}$is reachable from $W$ in $H$. So $V^{-}$is trivially accessible. Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}(f)$ denote the family of accessible classes, $A:=\bigcup \mathcal{A}$ and $B:=V(G) \backslash A$. Let $m:=|\mathcal{A}|-1$ and $q:=r-m$. Then $|A|=(m+1) s-1$. Then $|B|=(r-m) s+1$. Each vertex $y \in B$ cannot be moved to $A$ and so satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{A}(y) \geq m+1 \text { and } d_{B}(y) \leq q-1 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1 If $G$ has a nearly equitable $(r+1)$-coloring $f$, whose large class $V^{+}$is accessible, then $G$ has an equitable $(r+1)$-coloring.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}=V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ be a path in $H(G, f)$ from $V_{1}:=V^{+}$to $V_{k}:=V^{-}$. This means that for each $j=1, \ldots, k-1, V_{j}$ contains a vertex $y_{j}$ that has no neighbors in $V_{j+1}$. So, if we move $y_{j}$ to $V_{j+1}$ for $j=1, \ldots, k-1$, then we obtain an equitable $(r+1)$-coloring of $G$.

Suppose $V^{+} \subseteq B$. If $A=V^{-}$then $|E(A, B)| \leq r\left|V^{-}\right|=r(s-1)<1+r s=|B|$, a contradiction to (1). Thus $m+1=|\mathcal{A}| \geq 2$. Call a class $V \in \mathcal{A}$ terminal, if $V^{-}$is reachable from every class $W \in \mathcal{A} \backslash\{V\}$ in the digraph $H-V$. Trivially, $V^{-}$is non-terminal. Every non-terminal class $W$ partitions $\mathcal{A} \backslash\{W\}$ into two parts $\mathcal{S}_{W}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{W} \neq \emptyset$, where $\mathcal{S}_{W}$ is the set of classes that can reach $V^{-}$in $H-W$. Choose a non-terminal class $U$ so that $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}:=\mathcal{T}_{U} \neq \emptyset$ is minimal. Then every class in $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is terminal and no class in $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ has a vertex movable to any class in $\left(\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right) \backslash\{U\}$. Set $t:=\left|\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right|$ and $A^{\prime}:=\bigcup \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Thus every $x \in A^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{A}(x) \geq m-t . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Call an edge $z y$ with $z \in W \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and $y \in B$, a solo edge if $N_{W}(y)=\{z\}$. The ends of solo edges are called solo vertices and vertices linked by solo edges are called special neighbors
of each other. Let $S_{z}$ denote the set of special neighbors of $z$ and $S^{y}$ denote the set of special neighbors of $y$ in $A^{\prime}$. Then at most $r-\left(m+1+d_{B}(y)\right)$ color classes in $A$ have more than one neighbor of $y$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S^{y}\right| \geq t-q+1+d_{B}(y) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2 If there exists $W \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ such that no solo vertex in $W$ is movable to a class in $\mathcal{A} \backslash\{W\}$ then $q+1 \leq t$. Furthermore, every vertex $y \in B$ is solo.

Proof. Let $S$ be the set of solo vertices in $W$ and $D:=W \backslash S$. Then every vertex in $N_{B}(S)$ has at least one neighbor in $W$ and every vertex in $B \backslash N_{B}(S)$ has at least two neighbors in $W$. It follows that $\left.|E(W, B)| \geq\left|N_{B}(S)\right|+2\left(|B|-\mid N_{B}(S)\right) \mid\right)$. Since no vertex in $S$ is movable, every $z \in S$ satisfies $d_{B}(z) \leq q$. By (2), every vertex $x \in W$ satisfies $d_{B}(x) \leq t+q$. Thus, using $s=|W|=|S|+|D|$,

$$
q s+q|D|+2=2(q s+1)-q|S| \leq|E(W, B)| \leq q|S|+(t+q)|D| \leq q s+t|D|
$$

It follows that $q+1 \leq t$. Moreover, by (3) every $y \in B$ satisfies $\left|S^{y}\right| \geq t-q+d_{B}(y) \geq 1$. Thus $y$ is solo.

Lemma 3 If $V^{+} \subseteq B$ then there exists a solo vertex $z \in W \in A^{\prime}$ such that either $z$ is movable to a class in $\mathcal{A} \backslash\{W\}$ or $z$ has two nonadjacent special neighbors in $B$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then by Lemma 2 every vertex in $B$ is solo. Moreover, $S_{z}$ is a clique for every solo vertex $z \in A^{\prime}$. Consider a weight function $\mu$ on $E\left(A^{\prime}, B\right)$ defined by

$$
\mu(x y):= \begin{cases}\frac{q}{\left|S_{x}\right|} & \text { if } x y \text { is solo } \\ 0 & \text { if } x y \text { is not solo } .\end{cases}
$$

For $z \in A^{\prime}$ we have $\mu(z, B)=\left|S_{z}\right| \frac{q}{\left|S_{z}\right|}=q$ if $z$ is solo; otherwise $\mu(z, B)=0$. Thus $\mu\left(A^{\prime}, B\right) \leq q\left|A^{\prime}\right|=q$ st. On the other hand, consider $y \in B$. Let $c_{y}:=\max \left\{\left|S_{z}\right|: z \in S^{y}\right\}$, say $c_{y}=\left|S_{z}\right|, z \in S^{y}$. Using that $S_{z}$ is a clique and (1), $c_{y}-1 \leq d_{B}(y) \leq q-1$. So $c_{y} \leq q$. Together with (3) this yields

$$
\mu\left(A^{\prime}, y\right)=\sum_{z \in S^{y}} \frac{q}{\left|S_{z}\right|} \geq\left|S^{y}\right| \frac{q}{c_{y}} \geq\left(t-q+c_{y}\right) \frac{q}{c_{y}}=(t-q) \frac{q}{c_{y}}+q \geq t .
$$

Thus $\mu\left(A^{\prime}, B\right) \geq t|B|=t(q s+1)>q s t \geq \mu\left(A^{\prime}, B\right)$, a contradiction.
We are now ready to prove the Hajnal-Szemerédi Theorem.
Theorem 4 If $G$ is a graph satisfying $\Delta(G) \leq r$ then $G$ has an equitable $(r+1)$-coloring.
Proof. We may assume that $|G|$ is divisible by $r+1$. To see this, suppose that $|G|=$ $s(r+1)-p$, where $p \in[r]$. Let $G^{\prime}:=G+K^{p}$. Then $\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ is divisible by $r+1$ and $\Delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leq r$. Moreover, the restriction of any equitable $(r+1)$-coloring of $G^{\prime}$ to $G$ is an equitable $(r+1)$ coloring of $G$.

Argue by induction on $\|G\|$. The base step $\|G\|=0$ is trivial, so consider the induction step $\|G\| \geq 1$. Let $e=x y$ be an edge of $G$. By the induction hypothesis there exists an
equitable $(r+1)$-coloring $f_{0}$ of $G-e$. We are done, unless some color class $V$ contains both $x$ and $y$. Since $d(x) \leq r$, there exists another class $W$ such that $x$ is movable to $W$. Doing so yields a nearly equitable $(r+1)$-coloring $f$ of $G$ with $V^{-}(f)=V \backslash\{x\}$ and $V^{+}(f)=W \cup\{x\}$. We now show by a secondary induction on $q(f)$ that $G$ has an equitable $(r+1)$-coloring.

If $V^{+} \in \mathcal{A}$ then we are done by Lemma 1 ; in particular, the base step $q=0$ holds. Otherwise, by Lemma 3 there exists a class $W \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, a solo vertex $z \in W$ and a vertex $y_{1} \in S_{z}$ such that either $z$ is movable to a class $X \in \mathcal{A} \backslash\{W\}$ or $z$ is not movable in $\mathcal{A}$ and there exists another vertex $y_{2} \in S_{z}$, which is not adjacent to $y_{1}$. By (1) and the primary induction hypothesis, there exists an equitable $q$-coloring $g$ of $B^{-}:=B \backslash\left\{y_{1}\right\}$. Let $A^{+}:=A \cup\left\{y_{1}\right\}$.

Case 1: $z$ is movable to $X \in \mathcal{A}$. Move $z$ to $X$ and $y_{1}$ to $W \backslash\{z\}$ to obtain a nearly equitable $(m+1)$-coloring $\varphi$ of $A^{+}$. Since $W \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(f), V^{+}(\varphi)=X \cup\{z\} \in \mathcal{A}(\varphi)$. By Lemma $1, A^{+}$has an equitable $(m+1)$-coloring $\varphi^{\prime}$. Then $\varphi^{\prime} \cup g$ is an equitable $(r+1)$-coloring of $G$.

Case 2: $z$ is not movable to any class in $\mathcal{A}$. Then $d_{A^{+}}(z) \geq d_{A}(z)+1 \geq m+1$. Thus $d_{B^{-}}(z) \leq q-1$. So we can move $z$ to a color class $Y \subseteq B$ of $g$ to obtain a new coloring $g^{\prime}$ of $B^{*}:=B^{-} \cup\{z\}$. Also move $y_{1}$ to $W$ to obtain an $(m+1)$-coloring $\psi$ of $A^{*}:=V(G) \backslash B^{*}$. Set $\psi^{\prime}:=\psi \cup g^{\prime}$. Then $\psi^{\prime}$ is a nearly equitable coloring of $G$ with $A^{*} \subseteq A\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, $y_{2}$ is movable to $W^{*}:=W \cup\left\{y_{1}\right\} \backslash\{z\}$. Thus $q\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)<q(f)$ and so by the secondary induction hypothesis, $G$ has an equitable $(r+1)$-coloring $\psi^{\prime \prime}$.

## 3 A polynomial algorithm

Our proof clearly yields an algorithm. However it may not be immediately clear that its running time is polynomial. The problem lies in the secondary induction, where we may apply Case $2 O(r)$ times, each time calling the algorithm recursively. Lemma 2 is crucial here; it allows us to claim that when we are in Case 2 (doing lots of work) we make lots of progress. As above $G$ is a graph satisfying $\Delta(G) \leq r$ and $|G|=: n=: s(r+1)$. Let $f$ be a nearly equitable $(r+1)$-coloring of $G$.

Theorem 5 There exists an algorithm $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ that from input $(G, f)$ constructs an equitable $(r+1)$-coloring of $G$ in $c(q+1) n^{3}$ steps.

Proof. We shall show that the construction in the proof of Theorem 4 can be accomplished in the stated number of steps. Argue by induction on $q$. The base step $q=0$ follows immediately from Lemma 1 and the observation that the construction of $H$ and the recoloring can be carried out in $\frac{1}{4} c n^{3}$ steps. Now consider the induction step. In $\frac{1}{4} c n^{3}$ steps construct $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\prime}, B, W, z, y_{1}$. Using the induction hypothesis on the input $\left(G\left[B^{-}\right], f \mid B^{-}\right)$, construct the coloring $g$ of $B^{-}$in $c\left(q\left(f \mid B^{-}\right)+1\right)(q s)^{3} \leq c q n^{3}$ steps. In $\frac{1}{4} c n^{3}$ steps determine whether Case 1 or Case 2 holds.

If Case 1 holds, construct the recoloring $\varphi^{\prime}$ in $\frac{1}{4} c n^{3}$ steps. This yields an equitable $(r+1)$ coloring $g \cup \varphi^{\prime}$ in a total of $\frac{3}{4} c n^{3}+c q n^{3} \leq c(q+1) n^{3}$.

If Case 2 holds then, by Lemma $2, q+1 \leq t$. Thus we used only $\frac{1}{8} c q n^{3}$ steps to construct $g$. Use an additional $\frac{1}{4} c n^{3}$ steps to extend $g$ to $\psi^{\prime}$. Notice that $W^{*}$ is non-terminal in $\psi^{\prime}$. Thus we can choose $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)$ so that $A^{\prime}\left(\psi^{\prime}\right) \subseteq B$. If Case 1 holds for $\psi^{\prime}$ then as above we can
construct an equitable coloring in an additional $\frac{1}{4} c n^{3}+\frac{1}{8} c q n^{3}$ steps. So the total number of steps is at most $c(q+1) n^{3}$. Otherwise by Lemma $2 q\left(\psi^{\prime}\right)<\frac{1}{2} q$. Thus by the induction hypothesis we can finish in $c \frac{q n^{3}}{16}$ additional steps. Then the total number of steps is less than $c(q+1) n^{3}$.

Theorem 6 There is an algorithm $\mathcal{P}$ of complexity $O\left(n^{5}\right)$ that constructs an equitable $(r+1)$ coloring of any graph $G$ satisfying $\Delta(G) \leq r$ and $|G|=n$.

Proof. As above, we may assume that $n$ is divisible by $r+1$. Let $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Delete all edges from $G$ to form $G_{0}$ and let $f_{0}$ be an equitable coloring of $G_{0}$. Now, for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, do the following:
(i) Add back all the edges of $G$ incident with $v_{i}$ to form $G_{i}$;
(ii) If $v_{i}$ has no neighbors in its color class in $f_{i-1}$, then set $f_{i}:=f_{i-1}$.
(iii) Otherwise, move $v_{i}$ to a color class that has no neighbors of $v_{i}$ to form a nearly equitable coloring $f_{i-1}^{\prime}$ of $G_{i}$. Then apply $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ to $\left(G_{i}, f_{i-1}^{\prime}\right)$ to get an equitable $(r+1)$-coloring $f_{i}$ of $G_{i}$.

Then $f_{n-1}$ is an equitable $(r+1)$-coloring of $G_{n-1}=G$. Since we have only $n-1$ stages and each stage runs in $O\left(n^{4}\right)$ steps, the total complexity is $O\left(n^{5}\right)$.
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