

## More on Isomorphism of Planar Graphs

We give  $O(|V|^2)$  algorithms to solve labelled isomorphism on 2-connected planar graphs, connected planar graphs, and planar graphs. Linear time algorithms of the same flavor exist. A first step is to test if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are planar and return with failure if not. Also, we test if  $|V(G_1)|=|V(G_2)|$  and return with failure if not. Otherwise we let  $n=|V(G_1)|$ .

Given our algorithm for connected planar graphs, our algorithm for general planar graphs proceeds as follows. We use depth-first search to determine, in  $O(n)$  time the number of components of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , compute the components of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , and determine the size of each component. We can assume that for some  $k$ , both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have  $k$  components, and that we have labelled the components of  $G_i$  as  $U^1, \dots, U^k$ , and for  $i$  in  $\{1,2\}$ , we have an array, the  $j^{\text{th}}$  element of which contains the size of  $U_j^i$  and a copy of this component. Furthermore using this array, in  $O(|V|^2)$  time we can determine for every  $s$ , the number of components of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  which have  $s$  vertices. If for any  $s$ , this number differs we return the fact that the graphs are not isomorphic and terminate.

We build an auxiliary bipartite graph on vertices  $(1,1), (1,2), \dots, (1,k), (2,1), (2,2), \dots, (2,k)$  where  $(1,j)$  is isomorphic to  $(2,i)$  if  $U_j^1$  is isomorphic to  $U_i^2$ . The two graphs are isomorphic precisely if every component of this bipartite graph has the same number of vertices on both sides of the bipartition. To test if  $U_j^1$  is isomorphic to  $U_i^2$  we first test if they have the same number of vertices (which takes  $O(1)$  time using our array), and then apply our algorithm for connected planar graphs if they have the same number of vertices.

Now, if there are  $a$  components of size  $b$  in  $G_1$  (and hence also  $G_2$ ) then the  $a^2$  calls to our algorithm for connected graphs for pairs of components of size  $b$  takes  $O(a^2b^2)=O((ab)^2)$  time. Since the sum of squares is at most the square of the sum, we see that our algorithm runs in  $O(n^2)$  time in total.

We consider next how to use our algorithm for solving labelled isomorphism on 2-connected planar graphs, to get an algorithm for connected planar graphs. We use depth-first search to determine, in  $O(n)$  time the number of blocks of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , compute the block trees  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , and determine the size of each block. We can assume that for some  $k$ , both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have  $k$  blocks, and that for each block node of the block tree, we have recorded the number of vertices of the block. Furthermore, in  $O(|V|^2)$  time we can determine for every  $s$ , the number of components of blocks  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with  $s$  vertices. If for any  $s$  this number differs we return the fact that the graphs are not isomorphic and terminate.

Since the block tree is unique, for any isomorphism  $f$  between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , there is an isomorphism  $g$  between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  such that (i) for every cutvertex node  $s$  of  $T_1$ ,  $g(s)$  is a cutvertex node of  $T_2$  and  $f$  maps the cut corresponding to  $s$  to the cut

corresponding to  $g(s)$ , and (ii) for every block node  $s$  of  $T_1$ ,  $g(s)$  is a block node of  $T_2$  and  $f$  maps the block corresponding to  $s$  to the block corresponding to  $g(s)$ . We can find for each block tree, either a unique separator of the tree or a unique edge of the tree both of whose endpoints are separators. We know that the  $g$  corresponding to an isomorphism  $f$  must map separators of  $T_1$  to separators of  $T_2$ . Since there is at most one cutvertex separator and at most one block node separator in each block tree, we know how  $g$  must behave on the separators. So, we root  $T_1$  at a separator  $r_1$  and  $T_2$  at the separator  $r_2$  to which  $r_1$  must be mapped. We compute the depth of each node of each block tree. We know that for the  $g$  corresponding to any isomorphism  $f$ , (i) for any cutvertex node  $s$  of  $T_1$ ,  $g(s)$  must be a cutvertex node of  $T_2$  at the same depth such that there is an isomorphism  $f_s$  from the subgraph of  $G_1$  consisting of the blocks of  $G_1$  which are descendants of  $s$  to the subgraph of  $G_2$  consisting of the blocks of  $G_2$  which are descendants of  $g(s)$  which maps the cutvertex corresponding to  $s$  to the cutvertex corresponding to  $g(s)$ , and (ii) for any block node  $s$  of  $T_1$ ,  $g(s)$  must be a block node of  $T_2$  at the same depth such that there is an isomorphism  $f_s$  from the subgraph of  $G_1$  consisting of the blocks of  $G_1$  which are descendants of  $s$  to the subgraph of  $G_2$  consisting of the blocks of  $G_2$  which are descendants of  $g(s)$  which maps the block corresponding to  $s$  to the block corresponding to  $g(s)$ , and if  $s$  is not the root of  $T_1$  maps the cutvertex corresponding to the parent of  $s$  to the cutvertex corresponding to the parent of  $g(s)$ .

We consider the nodes of the two trees in decreasing order of depth. We want to determine for every cutvertex node  $s$  of  $T_1$  and cutvertex node  $t$  of  $T_2$  at the same height as  $s$ , whether there is an isomorphism  $f_s$  satisfying (i) with  $g(s)=t$ . We want to determine for every block node  $s$  of  $T_1$  and block node  $t$  of  $T_2$  at the same height as  $s$ , whether there is an isomorphism  $f_s$  satisfying (i) with  $g(s)=t$ .

Having solved this problem for nodes whose depth is one more than the depth of  $s$ , We can label the nodes at this higher depth, so that if they are in different trees then they have the same label precisely if such an isomorphism exists.

Now, if  $s$  and  $t$  are cutnode trees, such an isomorphism exists, precisely if there is a bijection between their children where we can only map a node to a node with the same label.

If  $s$  and  $t$  are block nodes then such an isomorphism exists precisely if there is a labelled isomorphism between the block corresponding to  $s$  and the block corresponding to  $t$ , where (a) we label the cutnodes of the children of  $s$  and  $t$ , using a pair (the original label, and the labels our algorithm has provided) and (b) if  $s$  is not the root then we label the cutvertex corresponding to the parent of  $s$  with a pair whose second component is new special label which is also assigned as the second component of the label of the cutvertex corresponding to the parent of  $t$ .

We can now use our algorithm for labelled planar isomorphism for 2-connected graphs to solve labelled planar isomorphism on connected planar graphs in quadratic time.

We consider next how to use our algorithm for solving labelled isomorphism on 3-connected planar graphs, to get an algorithm for 2-connected planar graphs.

We use depth-first search to determine, in  $O(n)$  time the number of strong triconnected components of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , compute the strong 2-cut trees of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , and determine the size of each strong tri-connected component. We can assume that for some  $k$ , both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have  $k$  strong tri-connected components, and that for each strong connected tricomponent node of the strong 2-cut tree, we have recorded the size of the strong tri-connected component. Furthermore, in  $O(|V|^2)$  time we can determine for every  $i$ , the number of components of blocks  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with  $i$  vertices. If for any  $i$ , this number differs we return the fact that the graphs are not isomorphic and terminate.

We proceed in essentially the same way, except that (1) for 2-cut nodes, we need to determine which if any bijections between the 2-cuts extend to a bijection of the graph consisting of the triconnected components below, and (2) for non-root tri-connected component, we need to determine which if any bijections of the 2-cut corresponding to the parent of the node extend in this fashion.

If  $s$  and  $t$  are cut nodes, then if we fix one of the two possible bijections between them, then we simply need to check if there is a bijection between the children, where paired children get the same label. Otherwise, since there are only  $O(|E|) = O(|V|)$  possible unlabeled bijections between the tricomponent corresponding to  $s$  and that corresponding to  $t$ , we can check in quadratic time, which if any extend to the desired  $f_s$  and what bijection they generate on the 2-cuts corresponding to the parents of  $s$  and  $t$ .

### **Finding an edge in a 3-connected graph whose contraction leaves it 3-connected.**

Consider a vertex  $x$  of minimum degree in a 3-connected graph  $G$ . For any neighbour  $y$  of  $G$ , any 2-cut of  $G/xy$  not containing the vertex into which we contracted  $xy$  is a 2-cut of  $G$ . So, if  $y$  is not in a 2-cut of  $G-x$  then  $G/xy$  is 3-connected.

If  $G-x$  is triconnected and the strong 2-cut tree has only one vertex then  $G-x$  has no 2-cuts so we can contract any edge from  $y$ . If  $G-x$  is a cycle of length at least four and the strong 2-cut tree has only one vertex then every vertex of  $G$  other than  $x$  must see  $x$  (since it has degree at least three in  $G$ ) and has degree exactly 3 in  $G-x$ . But  $x$  has degree at least four which is a contradiction.

Otherwise, the strong 2-cut tree has at least two leaves. For any such leaf  $l$ , let  $G_l$  be the corresponding cycle or triconnected graph. Let  $yz$  be the edge of  $G_l$

corresponding to the 2-cut of  $G-x$  which is the parent of  $l$ . Now,  $x$  sees a vertex  $w$  of  $G_1-y-z$ , as  $G$  is 3-connected. So,  $w$  is in a 2-cut and  $G_1$  is a cycle of length at least four or we could contract  $xw$ . Now, every vertex of  $G_1-y-z$  has degree at least three in  $G$  and hence sees  $x$  and has degree exactly three in  $G$ . But now,  $x$  sees two vertices of  $G_1-y-z$ , for every leaf  $k$  and hence at least four neighbours. This contradicts the fact that it is a minimal degree vertex.

Thus, in linear time we can find the desired edge whose contraction leaves the graph 3-connected. As discussed in class Kawarabayashi, Li, and Reed have shown that for some constant  $c > 0$ , there is a linear time algorithm which given a 3-connected planar graph  $G$ , finds an induced matching  $M$  with  $c|V(G)|$  edges such that contracting any subset of its edges leaves a 3-connected graph.

### **Quadratic and Linear Planar Embedding Algorithms for 3-connected Graphs**

In linear time, we find an edge  $xy$  such that  $G/xy$  is 3-connected. We do so iteratively, constructing a sequence of 3-connected graphs  $G_0=G, G_1, \dots, G_{n-1}$ . Such that  $G_i$  is obtained from  $G_{i-1}$  by contracting some edge  $x_i y_i$ . Since  $G_{n-1}$  is a vertex we can find a planar embedding of it in constant time. As discussed in class, given a planar embedding of  $G_{i+1}$ , in linear time we can either find a planar embedding of  $G_i$  or a  $K_5$  or  $K_{3,3}$  subdivision which shows it is not planar, in linear time. Recursively applying this algorithm for  $i=n-2$  down to 0, we have a quadratic time algorithm to either determine that a 3-connected graph is not planar or find a planar embedding of it.

To improve the time complexity of the algorithm, We find, In linear time, an induced matching  $M$  with at least  $c|V(G)|$  vertices such that contracting any subset of the edges yields a 3-connected graph. We let  $G_1$  be obtained by contracting the edges of this matching. Iterating this process, we construct a sequence of 3-connected graphs  $G_0=G, G_1, \dots, G_l$ . Such that  $G_i$  is obtained from  $G_{i-1}$  by contracting some matching with at least  $c|V(G_i)|$  edges for which contracting any subset of its edges yields a 3-connected graph, and  $|V(G_l)|$  is at most some large constant  $B$ . Since  $G_l$  has bounded size, we can find a planar embedding of it in constant time. As discussed in class, given a planar embedding of  $G_{i+1}$ , in linear time we can either find a planar embedding of  $G_i$  or a  $K_5$  or  $K_{3,3}$  subdivision which shows it is not planar. Recursively applying this algorithm for  $i=l-1$  down to 0, we have a linear time algorithm to either determine that a 3-connected graph is not planar or find a planar embedding of it.