

Lecture 8: Dynamic Programming on Trees and 2-Trees

In Lecture 7, we noted that every 2-tree contains a 2-leaf, i.e. a vertex which is adjacent to only 2 other vertices, which are themselves adjacent. More strongly, we noted that every 2-tree which is not a triangle contains a 2-leaf v such that $G-v$ is a 2-tree. This allowed us to prove by induction that every 2-tree had a planar straight line embedding.

In this lecture, we observed that this fact also allows us to prove by induction that G is a 2-tree precisely if it has at least 3 vertices, and we can order its vertices as v_1, \dots, v_n so that for each $i > 2$, the set $\{v_j | j < i\}$ contains exactly two vertices, which are joined by an edge. Using this result as a starting point, we then made the following:

Observation: for every 2-tree G and 2-leaf v , there is an ordering of $V(G)$ as v_1, \dots, v_n so that (i) for each $i > 2$, the set $\{v_j | j < i\}$ has precisely two vertices which are joined by an edge, and (ii) v is v_n . Hence $G-v$ is a 2-tree.

Proof: Choose an ordering of $V(G)$ as v_1, \dots, v_n so that for each $i > 2$, the set $\{v_j | j < i\}$ has precisely two vertices, which are joined by an edge, so as to maximize the value of k for which $v = v_k$. Note that the condition on our ordering implies that v_1, v_2, v_3 is a triangle and hence v_2 is adjacent to v_1 and v_3 . We want to show $v = v_n$. Otherwise, consider the ordering obtained by swapping v_k and v_{k+1} . Since for i which are neither k nor $k+1$ the set $\{v_j | j < i\}$ does not change when we make this swap, this new ordering contradicts our choice unless v_k is adjacent to v_{k+1} and $k+1$ is at least 3. But this is impossible if k exceeds 2, as $v = v_k$ has only 2 neighbours and if $k > 2$ then by our choice of ordering both these neighbours appear before it in the ordering and so neither is v_{k+1} . So k must be 2. But, again, swapping v_k and v_{k+1} contradicts our choice of order, because v is adjacent to both v_1 and v_3 . Thus, v must be v_n . Restricting the ordering to $G-v$, we see that it shows that $G-v$ is a 2-tree. QED.

It follows that we can recursively test if G is a 2-tree and if so find a rooted tree (T, r) and sets of triangles which show it is a tree as follows:

If G is a triangle then T is a tree with one node r , and we arbitrarily label the vertices of G as a_r, b_r, c_r . Otherwise, determine if G has a 2-leaf. If not then return that G is not a 2-tree, otherwise find a 2-leaf v and recurse on $G-v$. If $G-v$ is not a 2-tree neither is G , return this fact. Otherwise take the rooted tree and set of triangles which shows that $G-v$ is a 2-tree, find a node p of T such that the triangle corresponding to p contains the edge of $G-v$ whose endpoints are the neighbours of v in G , add a leaf s incident to p to obtain a new tree from the tree for $G-v$, set $a_s = v$, choose one neighbour of v to be b_s and the other to be c_s . Return the new rooted tree and set of triangles.

Since testing if a vertex is a 2-leaf can be done in $O(|E(G)|)$ time, this algorithm takes $O(|V(G)||E(G)|)$ time. I asked you to see if you could speed it up.

Given a graph G and associated tree T with a triangle of G for each node of T , for each subtree S of T , we let G_S be the subtree of G obtained by taking the union of the triangles corresponding to nodes in S , and we let V_S be the union of the vertices in these triangles. Thus, $V_S = V(G_S)$.

We also noted that given a 2-tree G , and a rooted tree (T, r) with an associated triangle $\text{Tri}(x)$ of G for each node x of the tree so that every edge of G and every vertex of G is in one of these triangles, we can choose a root and label the vertices of each $\text{Tri}(s)$ as a_s, b_s, c_s as in the definition of 2-tree precisely if for every edge st of T , letting T_s (respectively T_t) be the component of $T - st$ containing s (respectively t), we have that $\text{Tri}(s) \cap \text{Tri}(t)$ is an edge, and there are no edges between the disjoint sets $V_{T_s - (\text{Tri}(s) \cap \text{Tri}(t))}$ and $V_{T_t - \text{Tri}(s) \cap \text{Tri}(t)}$.

We also discussed dynamic programming on trees and 2-trees:

To begin, we presented an algorithm to find the largest stable set in the tree. As discussed on pages 560-562 of Kleinberg and Tardos, essentially exactly the same algorithm also finds the maximum weight stable set in a tree. For 2-trees we can find the maximum weighted stable set given a 2-tree G , and a weight $w(v)$ for each vertex v using the following 2 phase process:

In the first phase, we find a rooted tree (T, r) and a triangle with vertex set a_s, b_s, c_s for each node s of T as in the definition of 2-tree using the algorithm above. For each node s of T we denote the tree consisting of s and its descendants as $T(s)$. In the second phase, we traverse the nodes of T in post-order computing for each s a table containing for each x in $\{a_s, b_s, c_s, \emptyset\}$, the maximum weight $w(s, x)$ of a stable set in $G_{T(s)}$ whose intersection with $\{a_s, b_s, c_s\}$ is x . We return $\max\{w(r, a_r), w(r, b_r), w(r, c_r), w(r, \emptyset)\}$.

If s is a leaf then we set $w(s, \emptyset) = 0$, and for each x in $\{a_s, b_s, c_s\}$, we set $w(s, x) = w(x)$.

Otherwise, we let $c(1), \dots, c(k)$ be the children of s . We let $x_i = a_{c(i)}$ be the unique vertex in the triangle $\text{Tri}(s)$ corresponding to c_i which is not in the triangle corresponding to s . When we arrive at s we have computed our tables for the children of s , so we can compute the table values for s as follows.

We set: $w(s, \emptyset) = \sum_{i=1}^k \max(w(c_i, x_i), w(c_i, \emptyset))$.

For each x in $\{a_s, b_s, c_s\}$, we set:

$$w(s, x) = w(x) + \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \text{ s.t. } x \notin \text{Tri}(s)} \max(w(c_i, x_i), w(c_i, \emptyset)) \\ + \sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \text{ s.t. } x \in \text{Tri}(s)} w(c_i, x) - w(x)$$

Following the analysis in Kleinberg and Tardos, the second phase takes time linear in the number of nodes of T and hence linear in the number of vertices of G .