On a conjecture of Baïou and Balinski

David Avis
School of Computer Science
McGill University
Montreal, Quebec, H3A 2A7
Canada

and

Vašek Chvátal
Department of Computer Science
Rutgers University
Piscataway, NJ 08854
USA

Abstract

We disprove a conjecture of Baïou and Balinski concerning a variation on the Birkhoff-von Neumann theorem.

Let \mathcal{BB}_n denote the set of all integer $n \times n$ matrices X that satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} X(i,j) \le 1 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
 (1)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i,1) \le 1 \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} X(i,j) \le 1 \qquad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i,1) \le 1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i,j) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i,j-1) \qquad \text{for all } j = 2, 3, \dots, n$$

$$X(i,j) \ge 0 \qquad \text{for all } i \text{ and } i;$$

$$(4)$$

$$X(i,j) \ge 0$$
 for all i and j ; (4)

to put it differently, \mathcal{BB}_n is the set of all matrices that arise from $n \times n$ permutation matrices by first choosing an integer k such that $0 \le k \le n$ and then overwriting all entries in the last k columns with zeros. This set of matrices has been introduced by Mourad Baïou and Michel Balinski. At first (Baïou and Balinski (1998)), they asserted that an arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix X belongs to the convex hull of \mathcal{BB}_n if and only if it satisfies (1), (2), (3), (4) as well as all inequalities

$$\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X(i,j) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{|I|} X(i,j)$$
 (5)

where $I \subset \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Later (Baïou and Balinski (1998)), they realized that this assertion is false — matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1/2 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 \\
1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\
0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$

is an extreme point of the polytope of all X in $\mathbf{R}^{n\times n}$ that satisfy (1), (2), (3), (4), and so it constitutes a counterexample — and conjectured that an arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix X belongs to the convex hull of \mathcal{BB}_n if and only if it satisfies (1), (2), (3), (4) as well as all inequalities

$$\sum_{i \notin I} \sum_{j \in J} X(i,j) \ge \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} X(i,j) \tag{6}$$

where $k \in \{1, 2, ..., n-1\}, I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}, J \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., k\}, \text{ and } |I| = |J|.$ The purpose of our note is to point out that this conjecture, too, is false.

Theorem 1 For all positive integers n greater than four, every X in \mathcal{BB}_n satisfies

$$\sum_{i=4}^{n} X(i,1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} X(i,2) - X(1,3) + \sum_{i=4}^{n} X(i,4) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=5}^{n} X(i,j) \ge 0$$
 (7)

and inequality (7) induces a facet of the convex hull of \mathcal{BB}_n .

Proof. First, consider an arbitrary X in \mathcal{BB}_n . We have

$$\sum_{i=4}^{n} X(i,1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} X(i,2) - X(1,3) + \sum_{i=4}^{n} X(i,4) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=5}^{n} X(i,j) \ge$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X(i,1) + X(i,2) + X(i,4)) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} (X(1,j) + X(2,j) + X(3,j)) \ge$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X(i,1) + X(i,2) + X(i,4)) - 3,$$

and so (7) holds as long as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i,4) = 1$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i,4) = 0$, then

$$\sum_{i=4}^{n} X(i,1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} X(i,2) - X(1,3) + \sum_{i=4}^{n} X(i,4) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=5}^{n} X(i,j) =$$

$$\sum_{i=4}^{n} X(i,1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} X(i,2) - X(1,3) \ge$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i,2) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} X(1,j) \ge$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i,2) - 1,$$

and so (7) holds as long as $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i,2) = 1$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X(i,2) = 0$, then

$$\sum_{i=4}^{n} X(i,1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} X(i,2) - X(1,3) + \sum_{i=4}^{n} X(i,4) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=5}^{n} X(i,j) = \sum_{i=4}^{n} X(i,1) \ge 0,$$

and so (7) holds again.

Next, let S_n denote the set of all X in \mathcal{BB}_n that satisfy

$$\sum_{i=4}^{n} X(i,1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} X(i,2) - X(1,3) + \sum_{i=4}^{n} X(i,4) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=5}^{n} X(i,j) = 0$$
 (8)

and consider an arbitrary equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} A(i,j)X(i,j) = b$$
 (9)

that is satisfied by all X in S_n . To prove that (7) induces a facet of the convex hull of $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}_n$, we will show that (9) is a multiple of (8).

Since the all zeros matrix belongs to S_n , we have

$$b = 0$$
.

For each i = 1, 2, 3, the X in \mathcal{BB}_n defined by X(i, 1) = 1 and X(r, s) = 0 whenever s > 1 belongs to \mathcal{S}_n ; it follows that

$$A(1,1) = A(2,1) = A(3,1) = 0.$$

The X in \mathcal{BB}_n defined by X(2,1) = X(1,2) = 1 and X(r,s) = 0 whenever s > 2 belongs to \mathcal{S}_n ; it follows that

$$A(1,2) = 0.$$

For every choice of i such that $2 \le i \le n$, there is an i' in $\{2,3\} - \{i\}$; the X in \mathcal{BB}_n defined by X(i',1) = X(1,2) = X(i,3) = 1 and X(r,s) = 0 whenever s > 3 belongs to \mathcal{S}_n ; it follows that

$$A(i,3) = 0$$
 whenever $2 \le i \le n$.

For either choice of i in $\{2,3\}$, there is an i' in $\{2,3\} - \{i\}$; the X in \mathcal{BB}_n defined by X(i',1) = X(1,2) = X(4,3) = X(i,4) = 1 and X(r,s) = 0 whenever s > 4 belongs to \mathcal{S}_n ; it follows that

$$A(2,4) = A(3,4) = 0.$$

For every choice of i and j such that $4 \le i \le n$ and $5 \le j \le n$, there are an i' in $\{4,5\} - \{i\}$ and an X in \mathcal{S}_n such that X(2,1) = X(1,2) = X(i',3) = X(3,4) = X(i,j) = 1 and X(r,s) = 0 whenever s > j; it follows by induction on j that

$$A(i, j) = 0$$
 whenever $4 \le i \le n$ and $5 \le j \le n$.

For every choice of i such that $2 \le i \le n$, there is an i' in $\{2,3\} - \{i\}$; the X in \mathcal{BB}_n defined by X(i',1) = X(i,2) = X(1,3) = 1 and X(r,s) = 0 whenever s > 3 belongs to \mathcal{S}_n ; it follows that

$$A(i, 2) = -A(1, 3)$$
 whenever $2 \le i \le n$.

For every choice of i in $\{1,2,3\}$ and j such that $5 \le j \le n$, there are an i' in $\{1,2,3\} - \{i\}$ and an i'' in $\{2,3\} - \{i\}$, such that $i' \ne i''$; every X in \mathcal{BB}_n such that X(i',1) = X(4,2) = X(5,3) = X(i'',4) = X(i,j) = 1 belongs to \mathcal{S}_n ; it follows that

$$A(1,j) = A(2,j) = A(3,j) = A(1,3)$$
 whenever $5 \le j \le n$.

For every choice of i such that $4 \le i \le n$, there is an i' in $\{4, 5\} - \{i\}$; every X in \mathcal{BB}_n such that X(i, 1) = X(1, 2) = X(i', 3) = X(2, 4) = X(3, 5) = 1 belongs to \mathcal{S}_n ; it follows that

$$A(i,1) = -A(1,3)$$
 whenever $4 \le i \le n$.

Every X in \mathcal{BB}_n such that X(2,1) = X(4,2) = X(5,3) = X(1,4) = X(3,5) = 1 belongs to \mathcal{S}_n ; it follows that

$$A(1,4) = 0.$$

For every choice of i such that $4 \le i \le n$, there is an i' in $\{4,5\} - \{i\}$; every X in \mathcal{BB}_n such that X(2,1) = X(1,2) = X(i',3) = X(i,4) = X(3,5) = 1 belongs to \mathcal{S}_n ; it follows that

$$A(i,4) = -A(1,3)$$
 whenever $4 \le i \le n$.

References

M. Baïou and M. Balinski (1998), "Polytopes of Truncated Permutations: Extensions of the Birkhoff-von Neumann Theorem", Cahier du Laboratoire d'Économetrie, École Polytechnique, Paris, October 1998.

M. Baïou and M. Balinski (1999), "A Conjecture", manuscript dated 9 February 1999.